



ADAN J. Agric. 2025, 6: 104-117

© Association of Deans of Agriculture in Nigerian Universities (ADAN)

ISSN 2736-0385

DOI:10.36108/adanja/5202.60.0111

Yield and economics of carrot [*Daucus carota* (L.)] genotypes as affected by water management and Gibberellic Acid in a changing climate

¹Umar, A.H. and ²Shittu, E.A.*

¹Department of Agricultural Technology, Federal College of Horticulture Dadinkowa, Gombe State, Nigeria

²Department of Agronomy, Bayero University Kano, Kano State, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author: seabraham.agr@buk.edu.ng; Phone Number: +2348024695219

Abstract

Carrot is a high-value crop, but its productivity and profitability depend on water management, genotype selection, and plant growth regulators like gibberellic acid (GA₃). This study examined the interactive effects of these factors on carrot yield and economic returns at two locations: Research and Teaching farm of Bayero University Kano (BUK) and a community demonstration farm at Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 cold dry seasons. A split-plot design was used, testing four irrigation intervals (farmer's practice, 5, 7, and 9 days), two genotypes (Touchon Mega and Griffaton), and four GA₃ application rates (100 ppm applied once, twice, or three times, and a control). Results showed that frequent irrigation (farmer's practice, W1) and the 5-day interval (W2) significantly improved yield, net income, and benefit-cost ratio (BCR) at both locations ($P < 0.001$). The highest yield was recorded under W1V1G1 at BUK (23,417 kg/ha) and Maida (23,183 kg/ha), with net incomes of ₦6,161,001 and ₦6,183,732, respectively, and a BCR exceeding 6.0. In contrast, the 9-day interval (W4) led to severe yield reductions and financial losses, with the lowest net income observed under W4V2G4 at Maida (₦227,900, BCR 0.18) and BUK (₦277,000, BCR 0.24). Touchon Mega (V1) consistently outperformed Griffaton (V2), confirming its suitability for high-yield, high-profitability carrot farming. Similarly, GA₃ application (100 ppm × 3) significantly enhanced yield and economic returns, while the control (no GA₃) had the lowest profitability. The study concluded that integrating optimized irrigation, superior genotype selection, and GA₃ application maximizes both productivity and financial sustainability in carrot production.

Keywords: Irrigation scheduling, Carrot production, Economic profitability, Genotypic performance, Growth regulators

Introduction

Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) is an economically important root vegetable grown worldwide, providing essential nutrients and contributing significantly to the agricultural sector (Eze *et al.*, 2024; Zubair *et al.*, 2024). Carrots hold significant economic value due to their high demand in fresh markets, processing industries, and

export trade. They are rich in beta-carotene, vitamins, and antioxidants, making them essential for health-conscious consumers (Ghani *et al.*, 2021; Eze *et al.*, 2024). Carrot farming also provides employment opportunities across the supply chain, from production to retail (Pethybridge *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, the processing industry benefits from carrot-based products such as

juices, baby food, and frozen goods, adding value to agricultural produce (Colquhoun *et al.*, 2020).

Carrots contribute substantially to agricultural revenue, with major producers including China, the United States, and Russia (Wang *et al.*, 2015). Their adaptability to diverse climatic conditions and soil types further enhances their economic significance, making them a viable crop for sustainable agricultural enterprises (Umar *et al.*, 2024). However, climate change, characterized by erratic rainfall patterns, prolonged droughts, and rising temperatures, threatens their yield and economic viability (Umar *et al.*, 2024).

Effective water management practices are crucial in mitigating these adverse effects and ensuring sustainable carrot production. Plant growth regulators such as gibberellic acid (GA₃) has been found to enhance growth and yield in several crops under various environmental conditions (Ghani *et al.*, 2021). Plants respond to environmental stresses through physiological adaptations, including osmotic adjustments and hormonal regulation (Miri *et al.*, 2021). GA₃ plays a critical role in modulating these responses by promoting cell elongation, enzyme activation, and photosynthetic efficiency, which could enhance carrot growth under water-limited conditions (Wang *et al.*, 2017; Tang *et al.*, 2024).

Despite these advances, limited research exists on the interactive effects of water management and GA₃ application on different carrot genotypes. Addressing this gap is essential for optimizing both productivity and economic returns under changing climatic conditions. Integrating effective water management strategies with GA₃ application not only sustains carrot productivity but also strengthens its economic potential in global markets.

Sustainable agriculture necessitates the optimization of inputs, such as water and growth regulators, to achieve maximum yield with minimal environmental impact (Dawar *et al.*, 2020). By evaluating the interaction between irrigation regimes and GA₃ application, this study aligns with agronomic principles aimed at resource-efficient crop production. Crop profitability is influenced by yield output, input costs, and marketability (Pethybridge *et al.*, 2023). Thus, assessing the cost-effectiveness of different water management and GA₃ treatments will go a long way in determining carrot farming. Therefore, this study was carried out with the aim of evaluating water management strategies, GA₃ application rates, and their impact on carrot genotypes, morphology, economic returns, and ultimately identifying the most cost-effective combination for optimizing carrot production in a changing climatic scenario.

Materials and Methods

Experimental sites

Field trials were carried out during the dry season of 2020 and 2021 at two different locations: Teaching and Research farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Bayero University Kano hereinafter referred to BUK (Lat. 11° 58' N and Long. 8° 26' E, 475m asl) and community-based farm at Maida Village, Garko Local Government area of Kano State referred to Maida (Lat. 10° 10' 30.22'' N and Long. 11° 09' 52.49'' E, 458 m asl). Both locations are situated in the Sudan savanna Agroecological zone of Nigeria (Kowal and Knabe, 1972). The soils at both BUK and Maida were classified as sandy loam, with slight variations in physical and chemical properties across the two seasons. Maida's soil had higher organic carbon (0.96 g/kg) and available phosphorus (8.56 mg/kg), suggesting slightly better fertility compared to BUK (0.69 g/kg

organic carbon, 7.99 mg/kg available P). However, BUK recorded higher cation exchange capacity (CEC) (6.33 cmol/kg) than Maida (6.04 cmol/kg), indicating better nutrient retention. These variations align with findings by Ashine et al. (2024), which emphasize that soil texture and nutrient availability significantly influence crop productivity.

Treatments and experimental design

The experiment comprised four water management regimes: W1 (farmer practice, 5 days at early vegetative stage, 7 days at juvenile to pre-flowering stage, and 9 days at post-flowering to maturity stage), W2 (all irrigation at 5-day intervals), W3 (all irrigation at 7-day intervals), W4 (all irrigation at 9-day intervals as control), two varieties (V1=Touchon Mega and V2=Griffaton), and four application periods of GA₃ (G1: 100 ppm at vegetative stage, 100 ppm at flowering stage, and 100 ppm at rooting stage; G2: 100 ppm at vegetative and 100 ppm at flowering stage; G3: 100 ppm at flowering stage; and G4: 0 ppm as control). These were factorially combined and laid out in a split-split plot design and replicated three times with water management regimes allocated to the main plot variety to the subplots and GA₃ to the sub-subplots.

Preparation of growth regulators

A growth regulator in the form of gibberellic acid (GA₃) at the rate of 1 g was dissolved in drops of NaOH (10N), and the volume was filled up to 1000 mL of distilled water. Thereafter, GA₃ solutions were prepared for foliar spraying with concentrations of 0, 50, and 100 ppm. These concentrations were sprayed once on the plants when they attained a 4-6 leaf stage.

Cultural practices

Land preparation

The experimental site was ploughed

and double harrowed to obtain a fine tilth while organic manure was incorporated into the soil during the process. A furrow of 0.75 m in between a strip of 2 m was dug and used as a distributor channel. The strips were later encircled with raised bunds into a basin of 2 m length.

Sowing

Seeds were sown directly on the raised basins of 1.2 x 2m using a recommended spacing of 20 cm between and within rows, after which the beds were mulched with rice straws but were removed after seeding emergence.

Fertilization

Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 65 kg N, 45 kg P₂O₅, and 45 kg K₂O/ha using NPK 15:15:15, which was basally applied at 2 weeks after sowing (WAS) to supply 300 kg/ha, which was followed by top dressing urea 50 kg/ha at 5 WAS.

Weeding

Weeds were properly managed by hand weeding twice at 3 and 7 WAS.

Data collection and data analysis

Data were collected on root length, root diameter, root fresh weight, and yield using standard agronomic procedures, and measurement of weight-related attributes was done using a digital weighing balance (Mettler Toledo PM 4000). All data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1967) using Genstat (17th edition). Significant treatment means were separated using the Student Newman-Keuls test (SNK) at a 5% probability level. Additionally, the profitability of carrot production under the different treatments was analyzed using cost-benefit analysis (CBA), gross margin analysis, and benefit-cost ratio (BCR). The following economic

indicators were computed: Total Variable period.

Cost (TVC): Includes cost of seeds, fertilizers, agrochemicals (GA₃), irrigation, labor, and other inputs used in carrot production. Costs were recorded based on market prices during the experimental

Total Fixed Cost (TFC): Includes costs related to land preparation, depreciation of equipment, and rental costs (where applicable).

Total Cost of Production (TCP): Computed as:

$$TCP = TVC + TFC \text{-----Equa. 1}$$

Gross Revenue (GR) (₦/ha): Calculated as:

$$GR = Yield \text{ (kg/ha)} \times Market \text{ price ((₦/ha)} \text{-----Equa. 2}$$

The market price of carrot was set at ₦300/kg, based on average market rates during the study period.

Net Revenue (NR) or Net Farm Income (NFI) (₦/ha): Computed as:

$$NR = GR - TCP \text{-----Equa. 3}$$

Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR): Evaluates the financial viability of each treatment and is given by:

$$BCR = \frac{Gross \text{ Revenue}}{Total \text{ Cost of Production}} \text{-----Equa. 4}$$

A BCR greater than 1.5 is considered economically viable, while a BCR below 1.0 indicates financial loss (Razvi, 2023).

Results and Discussion

Root Length (cm)

The influence of water management (WM) and gibberellic acid (GA₃) on carrot root length during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 dry seasons is shown in Table 1. Results indicate that WM and GA₃ significantly affected root length at BUK and Maida. More frequent irrigation (farmer's practice) produced the longest roots, while increased irrigation intervals (5, 7, and 9 days) reduced root length. Touchon Mega exhibited superior root length compared to

Griffaton across all sampling periods. GA₃ application (100 ppm × 3) significantly enhanced root length, while the control treatment resulted in the shortest roots. Interaction effects (WM × G, WM × GA₃, and G × GA₃) were highly significant (P < 0.001), confirming that optimal root development depends on irrigation, genotype selection, and GA₃ application. The three-way interaction (WM × G × GA₃) was also significant, emphasizing the importance of integrating these factors for optimal results.

Table 1: Root Length per plant (cm) of Carrot genotypes as Affected by Water management and Gibberellic Acid at BUK and Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons

Gibrellic Acid at BUK and Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons													
		BUK						Maida					
		2019/2020		2020/2021		Combined		2019/2020		2020/2021		Combined	
		Weeks after sowing (WAS)											
Treatment		12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15
Water management (WM)													
Farmer practice		14.17 ^a	20.76a	16.13a	22.74a	15.15a	21.75a	15.96a	23.48a	14.10a	20.30a	15.03a	21.89a
5 days		11.45b	18.20b	12.73b	20.84b	12.09b	19.52b	14.31b	22.35b	12.51b	20.93a	13.41b	21.64b
7 days		8.19c	11.95c	8.90c	14.79c	8.54c	13.37c	11.62c	16.62c	9.18c	14.45b	10.40c	15.54c
9 days		7.14d	6.05d	6.46d	8.96d	6.80d	7.51d	8.54d	10.96d	5.79d	7.51c	7.16d	9.24d
Prob > F		<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE	(±)	0.078	0.106	0.117	0.240	0.039	0.053	0.298	0.325	0.757	0.671	0.640	0.180
Genotype (G)													
Touchon		10.73a	17.07a	11.82a	17.96a	11.27a	17.51a	13.73a	19.89a	11.81a	17.96a	12.77a	18.93a
Mega													
Griffaton		9.74b	11.41b	10.29b	15.70b	10.02b	13.56b	11.49b	16.81b	8.97b	13.64b	10.23b	15.22b
Prob > F		0.004	<.001	0.001	0.014	<.001	<.001	0.016	0.088	0.011	0.011	<.001	<.001
SE	(±)	0.179	0.682	0.218	0.509	0.141	0.426	0.912	0.218	0.601	0.925	0.304	0.463
Gibrellic Acid (GA₃)													
100ppm x3		15.23a	19.05a	22.21a	4.47a	14.68a	20.63a	14.64a	22.30a	14.74a	22.25a	14.69a	22.28a
100ppmx2		10.61b	16.33b	20.01b	3.98b	11.62b	18.17b	13.85b	21.06b	11.80b	18.21b	12.83b	19.64b
100ppmx1		9.08c	13.59c	15.80c	3.38c	9.83c	14.69c	12.67c	18.41c	9.63c	14.64c	11.15c	16.53c
Control		6.04d	8.00d	9.30d	2.68d	6.46d	8.65d	9.27d	11.64d	5.41d	8.09d	7.34d	9.86d
Prob > F		<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE	(±)	0.098	0.270	0.133	0.026	0.069	0.151	0.054	0.032	0.056	0.081	0.028	0.041
Interaction													
WM × V		<.001	0.082	0.074	0.119	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.312	0.371	0.519	0.440	0.271
WM × GA ₃		<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
V × GA ₃		<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
WM × V × GA ₃		<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different using SNK at 5% level of significance.

Root Diameter per Plant (cm)

Table 2 presents the impact of WM and GA₃ on root diameter. WM and GA₃ significantly influenced root diameter at both locations. The largest root diameters were observed under the farmer's practice, decreasing with increased irrigation intervals. Touchon Mega exhibited significantly ($P < 0.001$) larger diameters than Griffaton, highlighting genetic differences in root expansion. GA₃

application (100 ppm × 3) significantly increased root diameter, while the control resulted in the smallest measurements. Significant ($P < 0.001$) interactions (WM × G, WM × GA₃, and G × GA₃) confirm that root diameter is influenced by a combination of water availability, genotype selection, and GA₃ application. The three-way interaction further underscores the importance of integrating these agronomic factors.

Table 2: Root diameter per plant (cm) of Carrot genotypes as Affected by Water management and Gibberellic Acid at BUK and Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons

Treatment	BUK						Maida					
	2019/2020		2020/2021		Combined		2019/2020		2020/2021		Combined	
	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15
Water management												
Farmer practice	10.46a	12.48a	10.52a	12.97a	10.49a	12.72a	10.02a	11.62b	10.78a	13.56a	10.40a	12.59a
5 days	9.46b	11.27b	8.96b	11.75b	9.21b	11.51b	9.29b	11.76a	8.97b	12.06b	9.13b	11.91b
7 days	7.38c	9.20c	8.33b	9.98c	7.86c	9.59c	2.44d	2.94d	8.21c	10.58c	5.33c	6.76c
9 days	5.17d	7.09d	5.43c	6.42d	5.30d	6.75d	5.49c	6.85c	5.06d	6.01d	5.28c	6.43d
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	0.003	0.009	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	0.068	0.087	1.255	1.566	0.034	0.043	0.801	0.771	0.297	0.478	0.479	0.524
Genotype (G)												
Touchon	9.36a	11.47a	9.43a	11.64a	9.38a	11.55a	7.45a	8.86a	9.58a	12.06a	8.52a	10.46a
Mega												
Griffaton	6.90b	8.55b	7.19b	8.92b	7.05b	8.73b	6.16b	7.72b	6.94b	9.04b	6.55b	8.38b
Prob > F	<.001	0.105	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	0.309	0.388	0.273	0.413	0.206	0.246	0.698	0.881	0.339	0.419	0.69	0.209
Gibrellic Acid (GA₃)												
100ppm x3	10.43a	12.61a	11.44a	13.67a	10.93a	13.14a	8.38a	10.88a	10.86a	14.02a	9.62a	12.45a
100ppmx2	9.42b	11.02b	9.31b	11.38b	9.36b	11.20b	8.12b	9.49b	9.10b	11.54b	8.62b	10.51b
100ppmx1	7.27c	9.64c	7.52c	9.63c	7.86c	9.64c	6.01c	7.40c	7.51c	9.37c	6.76c	8.39c
Control	5.36d	6.76d	4.97d	6.43d	5.30d	6.59d	4.72d	5.40d	5.55d	7.27d	5.13d	6.34d
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	0.100	0.115	0.064	0.148	0.059	0.058	0.910	0.932	0.041	0.012	0.021	0.011
Interaction												
I × V	0.022	0.104	0.122	0.013	0.002	0.004	<.001	<.001	0.062	0.101	<.001	0.002
I × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
V × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
I×V × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different using SNK at 5% level of significance.

Root Fresh Weight per Plant (g)

Table 3 illustrates the effect of WM and GA₃ on root fresh weight. The 5-day irrigation interval produced the highest biomass, followed by the farmer's practice, while longer intervals (7 and 9 days) significantly reduced fresh weight. Touchon Mega exhibited superior biomass accumulation compared to Griffaton, **Carrot Yield (kg ha⁻¹)**

Table 4 shows that WM, GA₃, and genotype significantly influenced carrot yield across both seasons and locations. The highest yields were observed under the farmer's practice, followed by the 5-day irrigation interval, with yield declining at 7 and 9-day intervals. Touchon Mega

suggesting genetic advantages in resource utilization and stress resilience. GA₃ application (100 ppm × 3) significantly increased biomass, while the control had the lowest accumulation. The interactions (WM × G, WM × GA₃, and G × GA₃) were highly significant (P < 0.001), highlighting the combined influence of irrigation, genotype, and GA₃ application on biomass production. significantly (P < 0.001) outperformed Griffaton in yield, demonstrating superior adaptability. GA₃ application (100 ppm × 3) significantly enhanced yield, while the control had the lowest yields, indicating GA₃ role in promoting carrot growth and productivity.

Table 3: Root fresh weight per plant (g) of Carrot genotypes as Affected by Water management and Gibberellic Acid at BUK and Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons

2020/2021 Dry Seasons												
Treatment	BUK						Maida					
	2019/2020		2020/2021		Combined		2019/2020		2020/2021		Combined	
	Weeks after sowing (WAS)											
	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15	12	15
Water management (WM)												
Farmer practice	32.43 ^b	59.39 ^b	32.51 ^a	60.45 ^a	32.47 ^b	59.92 ^b	28.11 ^b	53.07 ^a	28.81 ^b	61.20 ^a	28.46 ^a	57.14 ^a
5 days	35.65 ^a	67.02 ^a	32.09 ^a	58.89 ^b	33.87 ^a	62.96 ^a	31.80 ^a	44.41 ^b	31.03 ^a	56.05 ^b	31.42 ^b	57.73 ^a
7 days	27.73 ^c	50.64 ^c	20.73 ^b	37.50 ^c	24.23 ^c	44.07 ^c	10.31 ^c	15.37 ^c	20.11 ^c	26.56 ^c	15.21 ^c	20.96 ^b
9 days	13.57 ^d	17.75 ^d	9.43 ^c	15.13 ^d	11.51 ^d	16.44 ^d	10.23 ^c	13.41 ^d	9.34 ^d	12.26 ^d	9.73 ^d	12.83 ^c
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	0.260	0.596	0.158	0.158	0.637	0.383	0.194	0.78	0.154	0.108	0.177	1.787
Genotype (G)												
Touchon	31.08 ^a	52.86 ^a	27.78 ^a	50.01 ^a	29.43 ^a	51.44 ^a	22.03 ^a	35.32 ^a	24.74 ^a	43.16 ^a	23.38 ^a	28.62 ^a
Mega												
Griffaton	23.61 ^b	44.54 ^b	19.60 ^b	35.98 ^b	21.60 ^b	40.26 ^b	18.20 ^b	32.68 ^b	19.86 ^b	34.87 ^b	19.03 ^b	33.77 ^b
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.007	<.001	<.001	0.108	0.003	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	0.199	0.632	0.905	2.748	0.463	1.410	0.689	2.606	0.031	0.156	0.016	0.000
Gibrellic Acid (GA₃)												
100ppm x3	36.60 ^a	65.96 ^a	33.61 ^a	63.61 ^a	35.11 ^a	64.78 ^a	27.43 ^a	46.33 ^a	30.89 ^a	60.38 ^a	29.16 ^a	56.99 ^a
100ppmx2	32.39 ^b	59.20 ^b	27.55 ^b	51.98 ^b	29.97 ^b	55.59 ^b	24.01 ^b	43.03 ^b	25.97 ^b	45.70 ^b	24.99 ^b	44.37 ^b
100ppmx1	26.56 ^c	46.50 ^c	22.21 ^c	40.95 ^c	24.39 ^c	43.73 ^c	19.48 ^c	30.43 ^c	20.72 ^c	34.10 ^c	20.10 ^c	32.26 ^c
Control	13.83 ^d	23.15 ^d	11.11 ^d	15.43 ^d	12.62 ^d	19.29 ^d	9.53 ^d	14.37 ^d	11.61 ^d	15.88 ^d	10.57 ^d	15.12 ^d
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	0.383	0.928	0.238	0.639	0.225	0.563	0.317	1.119	0.150	0.264	0.075	0.132
Interaction												
I × V	<.001	<.001	0.014	0.013	<.001	0.006	<.001	0.015	<.001	<.001	0.202	<.001
I × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
V × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.403	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
I×V × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.009	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different at 5% level of significance using SNK.

The superior root length, root diameter, root fresh weight, and yield observed under the farmer's irrigation practice can be attributed to enhanced water availability and nutrient uptake, promoting deeper root growth. This finding aligns with Umar *et al.* (2024). Similarly, optimizing irrigation frequency significantly enhances root development, as also reported by Ashine *et al.* (2024), who

found that supplementary irrigation improved carrot root growth. The observed increase in root dimensions suggests that frequent irrigation facilitates water and nutrient uptake, which is crucial for root expansion and overall physiological processes, further supporting the findings of Umar *et al.* (2024).

Table 4: Yield (kg/ha) of Carrot varieties as Affected by Water management and Gibberellic at BUK and Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons.

Treatment	BUK		Maida		Combined	
	2019/2020	2020/2021	2019/2020	2020/2021	BUK	Maida
Water management (WM)						
Farmer practice	14,490 ^a	16,190 ^a	16,170 ^a	13,830 ^a	15,340 ^a	15,000 ^a
5 days	13,690 ^b	14,630 ^b	14,680 ^b	13,360 ^b	14,160 ^b	14,020 ^b
7 days	8,240 ^c	9,890 ^c	9,420 ^c	7,790 ^c	9,060 ^c	8,600 ^c
9 days	6,490 ^d	5,890 ^d	5,890 ^d	5,320 ^d	6,190 ^d	5,610 ^d
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	16.3	19.4	541.6	255.3	9.7	0.5
Genotype (G)						
Touchon Mega	12,350 ^a	12,950 ^a	12,780 ^a	11,850 ^a	12,650 ^a	12,300 ^a
Griffaton	91,100 ^b	10,340 ^b	10,300 ^b	8,330 ^b	9,730 ^b	9,320 ^b
Prob > F	<.001	0.019	0.012	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	204.8	633.1	2,420	1.00	332.7	299.4
Gibrellic Acid (GA₃)						
100ppm x3	14,470 ^a	15,540 ^a	15,330 ^a	13,980 ^a	14,980 ^a	14,650 ^a
100ppmx2	11,340 ^b	13,530 ^b	13,310 ^b	10,790 ^b	12,440 ^b	12,050 ^b
100ppmx1	9,690 ^c	10,560 ^c	10,490 ^c	8,970 ^c	10,130 ^c	9,730 ^c
Control	7,470 ^d	6,960 ^d	7,030 ^d	6,570 ^d	7,210 ^d	6,800 ^d
Prob > F	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
SE (±)	68.8	136.6	131.9	35.0	76.5	68.2
Interaction						
WM × V	<.001	0.934	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.042
WM × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
V × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
WM × V × GA ₃	<.001	<.001	0.002	<.001	<.001	<.001

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different using SNK at 5% level of significance.

Table 5: Interaction between Water management and Variety on Carrot Yield (kg/ha⁻¹) at BUK during the 2019/2020 Dry Season.

Treatment	BUK		Maida	
	Touchon Mega	Griffaton	Touchon Mega	Griffaton
Water management				
Farmer practice	17,790 ^a	11,190 ^c	17,790 ^a	11,190 ^d
5 days	16,090 ^b	11,290 ^c	16,090 ^b	11,290 ^c
7 days	8,530 ^d	7,950 ^d	8,530 ^e	7,950 ^f
9 days	6,990 ^e	6,010 ^e	6,990 ^g	6,010 ^h
SE (±)	409.5		409.5	

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different using SNK at 5% level of significance.

Touchon Mega significantly outperformed Griffaton in growth and yield, highlighting the role of genetic factors in root elongation. This aligns with Pethybridge *et al.* (2023), who reported genotypic variations in carrot response to growth regulators and environmental conditions. Selecting cultivars

with superior root elongation traits, such as Touchon Mega, could enhance productivity under different environmental conditions, as supported by Colquhoun *et al.* (2020). However, this contradicts Zubair K *et al.* (2024), who found Griffaton to be superior in their study.

Table 6: Interaction between Water management and Gibberellic Acid (GA₃) on Carrot Yield (kg/ha⁻¹) at BUK during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons.

GA ₃								
	2019/2020				2020/2021			
Treatment	100ppmx3	100ppmx2	100ppmx1	Control	100ppmx3	100ppmx2	100ppmx1	Control
Water management	BUK							
Farmer practice	20,690a	15,140c	12,780e	9,370h	21,430a	18,7000c	157,00e	8,920i
5 days	19,070b	13,890d	12,110f	9,700h	19,830b	16,660d	12,100g	9,901h
7 days	10,100g	8,340i	7,930j	6,580k	13,120f	11,450g	9,480hi	5,500k
9 days	7,8500j	7,980j	5,950l	4,220m	7,800j	7,330j	4,970k	3,490l
SE (±)	137.6				237.3			
	Maida							
Farmer practice	21,530a	18,750b	15,740d	8,650g	20,340a	14,420c	12,130e	8,440i
5 days	19,230b	16,820c	12,380e	10,300f	18,210b	13,930d	11,650f	9,660h
7 days	12,830e	10,460f	8,980g	5,390i	10,130g	8,000j	7,680k	5,350n
9 days	7,710h	7,210h	4,880i	3,770j	7,220l	6,800m	4,400o	2,870p
SE (±)	263.8.6				260.7			

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different using SNK at 5% level.

Table 7: Interaction between Variety and Gibberellic Acid (GA₃) on Carrot Yield (kg/ha⁻¹) at BUK and Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons.

Treatment	Variety			
	2019/2020		2020/2021	
	<u>BUK</u>			
GA₃	Touchon Mega	Griffaton	Touchon Mega	Griffaton
100ppm x3	15,830a	13,030c	17,010a	14,070bc
100ppmx2	13,850b	8,830e	14,660b	12,400d
100ppmx1	11,330d	8,060f	12,310c	8,820e
Control	8,390ef	6,530g	7,830ef	6,080f
SE (±)	221.4		654.8	
	<u>Maida</u>			
100ppm x3	16,770a	14,470b	16,890a	10,770c
100ppmx2	6,210e	13,880b	15,670b	11,050c
100ppmx1	12,150c	12,030c	8,150d	7,430de
Control	8,970d	7,840e	6,580e	4,060f
SE (±)	1083.2		510.7	

Means within a column of treatment followed by unlike letter (s) are significantly different using SNK at 5% level of significance.

The significant positive impact of GA₃ 100 ppm × 3 on root length, root diameter, root fresh weight, and yield highlights its role in promoting cell elongation, nutrient mobilization, root-shoot development, and photosynthetic efficiency (Ghani *et al.*, 2021). Its influence on root biomass and elongation is well documented (Wang *et al.*, 2023; Schuler and Colquhoun, 2022). El-

Tohamy *et al.* (2023) also reported GA₃'s role in improving plant performance under optimized water management. However, excessive GA₃ application may reduce root diameter and overall biomass, emphasizing the need for optimal concentration and application frequency (Pethybridge *et al.*, 2023).

Table 8: Economic profitability of Carrot production as influenced by Water management, Variety and Gibberellic Acid at BUK during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons (Combined)

Water management	Variety	GA ₃	Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total Cost (TVC + TFC)	Gross revenue (₦/kg)	Net Revenue (₦/kg)	Net income (₦)	BCR
W1	V2	G4	8,200	924,000	300	2,460,000	1,596,000	1.66
W1	V2	G3	8,517	936,000	300	2,555,000	1,691,000	1.73
W1	V2	G1	17,967	973,350	300	5,390,001	4,526,001	4.54
W1	V2	G2	10,100	936,000	300	3,030,000	2,166,000	2.24
W1	V1	G1	23,417	973,350	300	7,025,001	6,161,001	6.22
W1	V1	G3	17,050	936,000	300	5,115,000	4,251,000	4.46
W1	V1	G4	10,533	924,000	300	3,159,999	2,295,999	2.42
W1	V1	G2	20,183	948,000	300	6,054,999	5,190,999	5.39
W2	V2	G3	9,450	956,000	300	2,835,000	1,951,000	1.97
W2	V2	G1	17,933	993,350	300	5,379,999	4,495,999	4.42
W2	V2	G4	7,650	944,000	300	2,295,000	1,411,000	1.43
W2	V2	G2	10,133	968,000	300	3,039,999	2,155,999	2.14
W2	V1	G3	14,767	956,000	300	4,430,001	3,546,001	3.63
W2	V1	G4	11,750	944,000	300	3,525,000	2,641,000	2.73
W2	V1	G2	17,650	968,000	300	5,295,000	4,411,000	4.47
W2	V1	G1	20,200	993,350	300	6,060,000	5,176,000	5.10
W4	V2	G2	7,050	932,000	300	2,115,000	1,267,000	1.27
W4	V2	G1	6,633	957,350	300	1,990,000	1,142,000	1.08
W4	V2	G4	3,750	908,000	300	1,125,000	277,000	0.24
W4	V2	G3	6,600	920,000	300	1,980,000	1,132,000	1.15
W4	V1	G1	9,067	957,350	300	2,720,000	1,872,000	1.84
W4	V1	G4	4,683	908,000	300	1,405,000	557,000	0.55
W4	V1	G2	8,900	932,000	300	2,670,000	1,822,000	1.86
W4	V1	G3	5,300	920,000	300	1,590,000	742,000	0.73
W3	V2	G3	7,117	944,000	300	2,135,000	1,275,000	1.26
W3	V2	G1	8,517	969,350	300	2,555,000	1,695,000	1.64
W3	V2	G4	6,417	920,000	300	1,925,000	1,065,000	1.09
W3	V2	G2	7,417	932,000	300	2,225,000	1,365,000	1.39
W3	V1	G2	9,267	944,000	300	2,780,000	1,920,000	1.94
W3	V1	G4	6,733	920,000	300	2,020,000	1,160,000	1.20
W3	V1	G1	11,683	969,350	300	3,504,999	2,644,999	2.62

W1= Farmer practice, W2= 5 days, W3=7 days, W4= 9 days (Water management levels through irrigation scheduling); V1= Touchon Mega, V2= Griffaton;

G1= 100ppm x3, G2=100ppm x2, G3=100ppm x1, G4=Control (no GA₃); BCR= Benefit cost ratio.; TVC= Total variable cost; TFC=Total fixed cost.

Interaction Effects

Significant ($P < 0.001$) interactions (WM $\times$ G, WM $\times$ GA₃, and G $\times$ GA₃) confirm that carrot yield is influenced by irrigation frequency, genotype selection, and GA₃ application. Table 5 highlights that the farmer's practice combined with Touchon Mega resulted in the highest yield. Table 6 indicates that frequent irrigation with GA₃ application (100 ppm $\times$ 3) significantly increased yield, while the 9-day irrigation

interval with no GA₃ had the lowest yield. Table 7 shows that Touchon Mega combined with GA₃ (100 ppm $\times$ 3) resulted in higher yields compared to the Griffaton in control, confirming the consistency of this trend across seasons and locations.

The significant two-way (WM $\times$ V, V $\times$ GA₃, WM $\times$ GA₃) and three-way (WM $\times$ V $\times$ GA₃) interactions indicate that yield optimization requires an integrated approach. These findings align with studies

highlighting the importance of irrigation in maintaining optimal carrot growth and yield (Ciza *et al.*, 2022). Tang *et al.* (2024) also reported that combining optimized water management with variety selection improves carrot yield and quality. Similarly, prior research underscores the benefits of integrating multiple management strategies to enhance productivity (Colquhoun *et al.*, 2020).

Economic Analysis of Carrot Production

The profitability of carrot production under different water management (WM), genotype, and GA₃ application scenarios is presented in Tables 8 and 9. The farmer's practice (W1) resulted in the highest net income and benefit-cost ratio (BCR) at both locations, with the most profitable treatment (W1V1G1) yielding 23,417 kg/ha. This treatment generated net incomes of ₦6,161,001 (BCR 6.22) at BUK and ₦6,183,732 (BCR 6.24) at Maida, emphasizing the economic benefits of integrating optimal irrigation, genotype selection, and GA₃ application. Conversely, increasing irrigation intervals (W2, W3, W4) led to reduced yield and profitability, with the least profitable treatment (W4V2G4) recording net incomes of ₦277,000 (BCR 0.24) at BUK and ₦227,900 (BCR 0.18) at Maida. This highlights the negative financial impact of poor water management, suboptimal genotype selection, and lack of growth regulation.

The application of 100 ppm × 3 (G1) resulted in the highest net income and BCR at both locations, confirming GA₃'s role in enhancing yield and financial viability. This aligns with findings by Silva *et al.* (2021), who reported that optimized irrigation and fertilization significantly increased carrot yield and economic returns. Farmers who adopted frequent irrigation (W1, W2), high-yielding varieties (Touchon Mega – V1), and

GA₃ achieved substantial net gains, with BCR values exceeding 4.0 in most cases. Similar economic benefits were observed in organic carrot farming, where improved agronomic practices boosted profitability (Souza e Souza *et al.*, 2023).

The best-performing treatment, W1V1G1, recorded the highest BCR (6.22 at BUK, 6.24 at Maida), indicating that for every ₦1 invested, farmers gained ₦6.22 and ₦6.24, respectively. This underscores the high return on investment associated with optimal irrigation, superior genotype selection, and GA₃ application. A comparable trend was observed in seed priming studies, where improved seed quality and growth enhancements increased net returns (Sharma *et al.*, 2020). Conversely, the control treatment (G4) had the lowest net income and BCR, further highlighting GA₃'s importance in maximizing profitability. The least profitable treatment, W4V2G4, showed significant financial losses, consistent with Zec *et al.* (2022), who reported reduced revenue from suboptimal production techniques.

A comparative analysis of the two locations reveals that while Maida had slightly higher net income in top-performing treatments, it also experienced greater financial losses in the worst-performing ones. This suggests that carrot production in Maida is more vulnerable to drought than in BUK. The lower yields and financial returns under W4 underscore the importance of efficient water management in mitigating climate-induced risks. These findings align with Ashine *et al.* (2024), who found that optimizing supplementary irrigation enhances productivity and reduces financial risks in water-limited conditions. Similarly, Pinto *et al.* (2023) reported that economic profitability in carrot farming depends on irrigation efficiency, agronomic inputs, and genotype selection, all of which influence

net revenue and cost-benefit outcomes. maximize carrot productivity and profitability. These findings reinforce the need for integrating multiple agronomic practices to

Table 9: Economic profitability of Carrot production as influenced by Water management, Variety and Gibberellic Acid at Maida during the 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 Dry Seasons (Combined)

Water management	Variety	GA ₃	Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total cost (TVC + TFC)	Gross revenue (₦/kg)	Net revenue (₦/kg)	Net income (₦)	BCR
W1	V2	G4	6,933	924,000	300	2,080,000	1,216,000	1.25
W1	V2	G3	14,233	936,000	301	4,284,232	3,420,232	3.58
W1	V2	G1	19,883	973,350	302	6,004,766	5,140,766	5.17
W1	V2	G2	17,433	936,000	303	5,282,299	4,418,299	4.64
W1	V1	G1	23,183	973,350	304	7,047,732	6,183,732	6.24
W1	V1	G3	17,250	936,000	305	5,261,250	4,397,250	4.62
W1	V1	G4	10,367	924,000	306	3,172,201	2,308,201	2.43
W1	V1	G2	20,067	948,000	307	6,160,468	5,296,468	5.50
W2	V2	G3	9,567	956,000	308	2,946,533	2,062,533	2.08
W2	V2	G1	17,583	993,350	309	5,433,249	4,549,249	4.47
W2	V2	G4	9,567	944,000	310	2,965,667	2,081,667	2.14
W2	V2	G2	15,617	968,000	311	4,856,784	3,972,784	4.02
W2	V1	G3	15,200	956,000	312	4,742,400	3,858,400	3.96
W2	V1	G4	11,033	944,000	313	3,453,432	2,569,432	2.66
W2	V1	G2	18,017	968,000	314	5,657,234	4,773,234	4.84
W2	V1	G1	20,867	993,350	315	6,573,001	5,689,001	5.62
W4	V2	G2	5,783	932,000	316	1,827,533	979,533	0.96
W4	V2	G1	6,517	957,350	317	2,065,783	1,217,783	1.16
W4	V2	G4	3,383	908,000	318	1,075,900	227,900	0.18
W4	V2	G3	3,733	920,000	319	1,190,933	342,933	0.29
W4	V1	G1	8,900	957,350	320	2,848,000	2,000,000	1.97
W4	V1	G4	4,150	908,000	321	1,332,150	484,150	0.47
W4	V1	G2	8,633	932,000	322	2,779,933	1,931,933	1.98
W4	V1	G3	6,033	920,000	323	1,948,767	1,100,767	1.12
W3	V2	G3	7,033	932,000	324	2,278,800	1,418,800	1.45
W3	V2	G1	8,950	969,350	325	2,908,750	2,048,750	2.00
W3	V2	G4	4,133	920,000	326	1,347,467	487,467	0.46
W3	V2	G2	8,350	944,000	327	2,730,450	1,870,450	1.89
W3	V1	G2	12,567	944,000	328	4,121,868	3,261,868	3.37
W3	V1	G4	6,650	920,000	329	2,187,850	1,327,850	1.38
W3	V1	G1	16,717	969,350	330	5,516,501	4,656,501	4.69
W3	V1	G3	10,917	932,000	331	3,613,418	2,753,418	2.88

W1= Farmer practice, W2= 5 days, W3=7 days, W4= 9 days (Water management levels through irrigation scheduling); V1= Touchon Mega, V2= Griffaton;

G1= 100ppm x3, G2=100ppm x2, G3=100ppm x1, G4=Control (no GA₃); BCR= Benefit cost ratio; TVC= Total variable cost; TFC=Total fixed cost.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The findings confirm that frequent irrigation (W1 and W2), high-yielding genotypes (Touchon Mega), and GA₃ application (100 ppm × 3) significantly improve carrot production profitability at both BUK and Maida. The best-performing treatment, W1V1G1, resulted in the highest net income (₦6,161,001 at BUK and ₦6,183,732 at Maida) and the highest BCR (6.22 and 6.24, respectively), indicating

excellent investment efficiency. Conversely, extended irrigation intervals (W4) and suboptimal genotype selection (V2-Griffaton) led to drastic financial losses, with W4V2G4 yielding the lowest net income (₦227,900 at Maida, ₦277,000 at BUK) and a BCR below 1.0, confirming economic unviability. These results highlight the critical role of efficient irrigation, appropriate genotype selection, and plant growth regulation in ensuring sustainable

and profitable carrot production. Maida recorded slightly higher economic returns under optimal conditions, but it also showed greater losses under water stress, suggesting that Maida's carrot production is more vulnerable to prolonged drought than BUK. Therefore, additional soil moisture conservation strategies (such as mulching) should be considered in Maida to mitigate water stress effects.

References

- Ashine, E. T., Bedane, M. T., and Mengesha, A. A. (2024). Response of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) to supplementary irrigation under rain-fed agriculture. *Advances in Agriculture*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/3976619>.
- Ciza, A., Silungwe, F. R., and Kihupi, N. (2022). Carrot Productivity under Various Levels of Irrigation and Fertilization. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture*. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2022/v15i430167>.
- Colquhoun, J., Rittmeyer, R. A., and Heider, D. J. (2020). A holistic carrot production system for season-long weed management. *Weed Technology*, 34(4), 876-881. <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2020.84>.
- Dawar, R., Giri, A. K., Meena, A. K., Patidar, G., and Rathod, S. (2020). Effect of foliar application of gibberellic acid on growth, yield and economics of blackgram. *Phytopathology*, 9(4), 3184-3190. <https://doi.org/10.22271/PHYTO.2020.V9.I4AF.12103>.
- El-Tohamy, W. A., Dasgan, H. Y., and Gruda, N. S. (2023). Impact of Gibberellic Acid on Water Status, Growth, and Development of Cape Gooseberry in Newly Reclaimed Sandy Lands within Arid Regions. *Horticulturae*, 9(12), 1283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9121283>
- Eze, F.O., Mukosha, C.E., Anozie, C., Moudry, J., Ali, S., Ghorbani, M., Amirahmadi, E., Baloch, S.B., and Baiyeri, K.P. (2024). Response of Carrots (*Daucus carota* L.) on the Growth, Yield, and Nutritional Composition to Varying Poultry Manure Rates. *Agricultural Research*, 13, 841–850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-024-00723-9>
- Ghani, M., Abbas, M., Ali, B., Aziz, R., Qadri, R., Noor, A., Azam, M., Bahzad, S., Saleem, M., Abualreesh, M., Alatawi, A., and Ali, S. (2021). Alleviating Role of Gibberellic Acid in Enhancing Plant Growth and Stimulating Phenolic Compounds in Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) Under Lead Stress. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112329>.
- Miri, M., Ghooshchi, F., Tohidi-Moghadam, H., Larijani, H., and Kasraie, P. (2021). Ameliorative effects of foliar spray of glycine betaine and gibberellic acid on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) yield affected by drought stress. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-07228-7>.
- Pethybridge, S., Murphy, S., and Kikkert, J. (2023). Growth Manipulation of Slicer Carrots by Foliar-applied Gibberellic Acid in New York. *HortTechnology*. <https://doi.org/10.21273/horttech05231-23>.
- Pinto, G.P., Ferreira, L. F., Neto, S., Souza e Souza, L. G., Uchôa, T. L., and Machado, L. (2023). Profitability of organic carrot influenced by weed control and sowing methods. *Comunicata Scientiae Horticultural Journal*, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.14295/CS.v14.3695>.
- Razvi, S. (2023). Best investments for the SDGs.????? Incomplete

- Schuler, J., and Colquhoun, J. (2022). Influence of gibberellic acid on vegetable crop and weed emergence. *Weed Technology*, 36(4), 808-813. <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2022.83>
- Sharma, P., Forestry, N., Thakur, A., and Kumar, S. (2020). Economic analysis of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) under seed priming and pelleting in combination with different crop geometry. *International Journal of Economic Plants*. 7(2), 077-079. <https://doi.org/10.23910/2/2020.0363>.
- Silva, J. C. D., Costa, L. F. F., Santos, D. P., Santos, L. J. da S., and dos Santos, M. A. L. (2021). Combination of irrigation and fertilizer increases yield and economic profit in carrot production. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*. DOI:10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v25n12p807-812
- Souza e Souza, L. G., Ferreira, R., Araújo Neto, S. E., Uchôa, T. L., Silva, N. M., and Francisco, W. M. (2023). Profitability of organic carrot cultivation under weed interference and sowing methods. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical*, 53, e74735. DOI:10.1590/1983-40632023v5374735
- Tang, W., Zhang, C., Zhang, P., Zhao, Y., Guo, M., Li, Y., et al. (2024). Optimized fertilizer–water management improves carrot quality and soil nutrition and reduces greenhouse gas emissions. *Horticulturae*, 10(2), 151. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10020151>.
- Umar, A. H., Manga, A., and Yahaya, S. (2024). Productivity of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) varieties as affected by gibberellic acid and water management strategies. *Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science*, 22 (9), 17-22. <https://doi.org/10.35629/9459-11091722>.
- Wang, G., Que, F., Xu, Z., Wang, F., and Xiong, A. (2015). Exogenous gibberellin altered morphology, anatomic and transcriptional regulatory networks of hormones in carrot root and shoot. *BMC Plant Biology*, 15, Article 679. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-015-0679-y>.
- Wang, G., Que, F., Xu, Z., Wang, F., and Xiong, A. (2017). Exogenous gibberellin enhances secondary xylem development and lignification in carrot taproot. *Protoplasma*, 254, 839-848. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-016-0995-6>.
- Wang, G., Que, F., Xu, Z. S., Wang, F., and Xiong, A. (2023). Gibberellin-induced alterations to the expression of cell wall-related genes in the xylem of carrot root. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 40(5), 787-797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10143-y>.
- Zec, S., Červenski, J., Ilin, Ž., and Ignjatov, M. (2022). Comparison of carrot production calculations on farms of different sizes. *Ratarstvo i Povrtarstvo Field and Vegetable Crops Research*, 59(1), 16-24. DOI:10.5937/ratpov59-36777
- Zubair, R., Khan, A., and Suleiman, H. (2024). Carrot production and its adaptation to climate change: A review. *International Journal of Agronomic Research*, 66(1), 85-102.
- Zubair K. T., Yahaya, S. U., Lado A., and Shittu E. A. (2024). Response of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) Varieties to Weed Control Strategies in Sudan Savanna Agro-ecology of Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Horticultural Science*, 28 (1), 38-48.